

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/520,576	03/08/2000	Roland Vincent St. John Killick	03628-0450	1427	
29052	7590 09/23/20	05	EXAMINER		
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP 999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.			DURAN, ARTHUR D		
ATLANTA,	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3622		

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		٩

Advisory Action After the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/520,576	ST. JOHN KILLICK, ROLAND VINCENT			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Arthur Duran	3622			

			i
	Arthur Duran	3622	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress
The reply filed 21 March 2005 is acknowledged.			
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will not be entered became. 		sion by the Board	of Patent
 a. The amendment is not limited to canceling any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claim can be excluded in rewr 	pendent claims into independent	form (no limitatior	
b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2).	ly filed before the filing of an appe	eal brief.	
 The reply is not entered because it was not filed wi 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). 			
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respincludes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41 response to a remand by the Board of Patent (37 CFR 41.50(a)(2)); or (c) a Board of Patent rejection (37 CFR 41.50(b)).	1.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences for furt	aminer's answer where the consideration	written in of rejection
3. The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below o	r attached.	
4. \(\infty\) Other: The amendement filed under 41.33(b) on Make the Appeal Brief defective because the "Status of 0" "Argument" sections still reflect that both sets of claims 5 contains a correct copy of the claims involved in the appearance.	Claims", "Grounds of Rejection to i3 and 54 are pending. A new Ap	be Reviewed on	Appeal" and

Atta luran